



FY23 Strategic Initiatives Research and Technology Development (SRTD)

Operations for Autonomy: Spacecraft State Estimation to Support Execution and Understanding of Onboard Decisions

Principal Investigator: Federico Rossi (347); Co-Investigators: Vandana Verma (347), Michel Ingham (310), Steve Chien (397), Trina Ray (394)

Strategic Focus Area: Operations for Autonomous Spacecraft | Strategic Initiative Leader: Rebecca Castano

Goal and Objective

What Get >15 hours of magnetometer measurement & 5 observations at sun angle 10, 30, 45 if plumes detected

How (1) skip additional plane observations (2) take additional magnetometer data...

How Reached image data storage limit.

Telemetry

- What is the spacecraft state?
- What happened onboard?
- Why did the autonomy make the decisions it did?

Objective: Develop technology to enable operations to

- understand *what* onboard decisions were made and *why*, enabling reconstruction of what the spacecraft executed
- predict the *state* of the spacecraft to inform specification of future science and engineering *goals*.

Background

Conventional Operations System

Future Operations System for Autonomous Spacecraft

On-board autonomy *enables* missions such as outer planets *flybys* and *surface operations* in adverse environments when ground-in-the-loop operations are not feasible due to *bandwidth*, *latency*, limited *lifetime*

New *tools* and *workflows* needed to: (i) *explain* autonomy decisions, (ii) infer future spacecraft *state* with autonomy in the loop, and (iii) identify *anomalies* that may be hidden by autonomy

Approach

Plan Reconstruction

Goal: "at-a-glance" reconstruction of

- What was *planned*
- What was *executed*
- How the spacecraft *state* evolved

Features:

- Show on-board *plans*
 - Uses existing EVRs for task start, end, status
 - No changes to FSW required
- Show *predicted* evolution of spacecraft *resources*
- Playback* to observe how plans evolved
- Show which tasks were *executed*, and when
- Show how spacecraft *resources actually* evolved
 - Kalman filter-based state estimation
 - Plant model uses existing MEXEC models, minimizing additional modeling required
- Compare with *uplink predictions* for tasks, resources
- Display relevant *EVRS*

Downlink Inspector

Goal: detailed view of *why* a task was *scheduled* at a given time

Features:

- Display task *priority*
- Display *order* in which tasks were scheduled
- Display *availability windows* for
 - temporal
 - precedence
 - resource constraints
- Highlight which tasks *affect* a resource (changing the availability)

Selected Findings

- Once the as-executed sequence is reconstructed, many downlink analysis activities (subsystems health, science analysis) are *not directly affected* by on-board autonomy
- Downlink operations need *deep understanding* of on-board *autonomy* and *uplinked intent*
- Many downlink operations that rely on checking for "*nominal*" behavior must *change* to accommodate autonomy
 - "Nominal" becomes "*in family*"
 - Operators instinctively rely on comparing predicts vs. actuals - but what actuals are *relevant*?
- "Why" has *different meaning* for different roles
 - Providing context can help form *mental models* key to answering "why"
- Supporting autonomy requires *new GDS tools*
- Tight loop* between FSW and GDS development is needed to identify *new telemetry* to support downlink analysis
- Modeling* is hard
 - If a tool requires development of new models, adoption becomes challenging

Selected Recommendations

- Include an "*autonomy engineer*" role in both uplink and downlink operations
 - Provides knowledge of (i) *uplink intent* and (ii) *autonomy required* to perform downlink analysis
- Develop and support algorithmic tools to *reconstruct what* happened and spacecraft *state*, and help users identify *relevant predicts* to compare actuals with.
 - Reduce mental load for mechanical tasks, allowing operators to focus on identifying complex correlations
- Build interfaces that allow users to build a *mental model* of autonomy decisions and *correlations* between states and decisions
- Maximize *compatibility* with existing tools to foster adoption

CADRE
Moon, 2024

MASCOT autonomy
testbed, 2024

EELS PSTAR
campaign, 2024

Part of family of
MEXEC tools

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena, California

www.nasa.gov

Clearance Number: CL#00-0000
Poster Number: RPC#
Copyright 2023. All rights reserved.

Publications:

- Rossi, F. et al. Workflows, User Interfaces, and Algorithms for Operations of Autonomous Spacecraft. In *IEEE Aerospace Conference*, March 2023.
- Castano, R. et al. Operating Deep Space Autonomous Spacecraft: Ground Processes and Tools for Operability and Trust. In *International Conference on Space Operations (SpaceOps)*, March 2023.
- Candela, A. et al. Outcome Prediction and Explainability for Mission Operations of Autonomous Spacecraft. In *Workshop on Human-Aware and Explainable Planning (HAXP), International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (ICAPS HAXP 2023)*, July 2023.
- Castano, R. et al. Operations for Autonomous Spacecraft. In *IEEE Aerospace Conference*, March 2022.
- Castano, R. et al. Operations For Autonomous Spacecraft: Workflows And Tools For A Neptune Tour Case Study. In *Lunar and Planetary Science Conference (LPSC)*, March 2022.
- Vaquero, T. S. et al. A Knowledge Engineering Framework for Mission Operations of Increasingly Autonomous Spacecraft. In *Workshop on Knowledge Engineering for Planning and Scheduling (KEPS), International Conference on Automated Planning and Scheduling (ICAPS)*, June 2022.
- Castano, R. et al. Operations for Autonomous Spacecraft: A Neptune Tour Case Study. In *Outer Planets Assessment Group (OPAG)*, March 2021. USRA

PI/Task Mgr. Contact Information:

Federico Rossi, federico.rossi@jpl.nasa.gov